

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/650,940	08/27/2003	Jae-Woo Roh	DE-1502	8090	
1109	7590 12/13/2005		EXAMINER		
ANDERSON, KILL & OLICK, P.C.			CHANG, AUDREY Y		
	E OF THE AMERICAS		A 70 M 1 70 M M	DA DED MUADED	
NEW YORK,, NY 10020-1182			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872		
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/200	DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/650,940	ROH, JAE-WOO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Audrey Y. Chang	2872	

	Audrey Y. Chang	2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final reject	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offite of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beganning and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.0		II be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidate	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	try is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	Vo(s)	
13. Other:		Audrey Y. Chang Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2872	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment adds new features that require further considerations and searches.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are based on newly amended but not yet entered features that are not persuasive to overcome the rejections. The applicant is respectfully reminded if only one portion of the reference beam is selected there cannot be multiple locations and portions of the reference beam exist in the system.